

Advanced Econometrics

Lecture 11: Resampling Methods

Instructor: Ma, Jun

Renmin University of China

December 25, 2021

Asymptotic normality

- ▶ In previous lectures, we have so many estimators with the property

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta \right) \rightarrow_d N \left(0, \sigma^2 \right)$$

and equivalently we can write $\hat{\theta}_n \overset{a}{\sim} N \left(\theta, \sigma^2/n \right)$.

- ▶ Once we have a consistent estimator $\hat{\sigma}_n$ of σ , the standard error is defined to be $SE = \hat{\sigma}_n / \sqrt{n}$. A confidence interval with approximate 95% coverage probability is $\left[\hat{\theta}_n \pm 1.96 \times SE \right]$.
- ▶ We use $N \left(\theta, \sigma^2/n \right)$ as approximation to the unknown true (often called finite-sample) distribution of $\hat{\theta}_n$.
- ▶ To estimate σ^2 based on the analogue principle (i.e., replace population moments/unknown quantities by their sample moments/estimates), we need knowledge of the expression(formula) of σ^2 . Very often the expression is very complicated.
- ▶ There are two computation-intensive approaches that do the estimation without requiring knowledge of the expression of σ^2 .

Jackknife standard errors

- ▶ Suppose our data is $(Y_i, X_{i1}, \dots, X_{ik})$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Denote $Z_i = (Y_i, X_{i1}, \dots, X_{ik})$.
- ▶ Suppose the estimator $\hat{\theta}$ can be written as $\hat{\theta}_n = \varphi_n(Z_1, \dots, Z_n)$, e.g., $\varphi_n(z_1, \dots, z_n) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i$.
- ▶ Now denote $\hat{\theta}_{-j} = \varphi_{n-1}(Z_1, \dots, Z_{j-1}, Z_{j+1}, \dots, Z_n)$, i.e., $\hat{\theta}_{-j}$ is an estimator obtained by removing the j -th observation from the entire sample. The variation in $\{\hat{\theta}_{-j} : j = 1, \dots, n\}$ should be informative about the population variance of $\hat{\theta}_n$.
- ▶ Denote $\bar{\theta} = n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \hat{\theta}_{-j}$. The Jackknife standard error is

$$\hat{se}_{jk} = \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (\hat{\theta}_{-j} - \bar{\theta})^2}.$$

- ▶ A 95% confidence interval is

$$\left[\hat{\theta}_n - 1.96 \cdot \hat{se}_{jk}, \hat{\theta}_n + 1.96 \cdot \hat{se}_{jk} \right].$$

- ▶ Indeed one can show

$$(n-1) \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\hat{\theta}_{-j} - \bar{\hat{\theta}} \right)^2 \rightarrow_p \sigma^2.$$

- ▶ Consider the following simple example: for i.i.d. random variables X_1, \dots, X_n , we use the sample average \bar{X} as an estimator of $\mu = E[X_1]$. It is known that $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu) \rightarrow_d N(0, \sigma^2)$, where $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}(X_1)$ in this case.
- ▶ For this case,

$$\hat{\theta}_{-j} = \frac{1}{n-1} (n\bar{X} - X_j),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \hat{\theta}_{-j} &= \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{j=1}^n (n\bar{X} - X_j) \\ &= \bar{X}. \end{aligned}$$

- For this simple case,

$$\hat{\theta}_{-j} - \bar{\hat{\theta}} = \frac{1}{n-1} (n\bar{X} - X_j) - \bar{X} = \frac{1}{n-1} (\bar{X} - X_j).$$

- We have

$$(n-1) \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\hat{\theta}_{-j} - \bar{\hat{\theta}} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2,$$

which is the sample variance that is a consistent and unbiased estimator for σ^2 .

Bootstrap

- ▶ The bootstrap takes the sample (the values of the realized explanatory and explained variables) as the population.
- ▶ The bootstrap is an alternative way to produce approximations for the true distribution of $\hat{\theta}_n$.
- ▶ Note that both asymptotic theory and the bootstrap only provide approximations for finite-sample properties.
- ▶ A bootstrap sample is obtained by independently drawing n observations from the observed sample $\{Z_i\}_{i=1}^n$ with replacement.
- ▶ The bootstrap sample has the same number of observations as the original sample, however some observations appear several times and others never.

Bootstrap Standard Errors

- ▶ Step 1: Draw B independent bootstrap samples. B can be as large as possible. We can take $B = 1000$.
- ▶ Step 2: Estimate θ with each of the bootstrap samples, $\hat{\theta}_b^*$ for $b = 1, \dots, B$.
- ▶ Step 3: Estimate the standard deviation of $\hat{\theta}$ by

$$\hat{s}e_{bs} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{B} \sum_{b=1}^B (\hat{\theta}_b^* - \hat{\theta}^*)^2}$$

where $\hat{\theta}^* = B^{-1} \sum_{b=1}^B \hat{\theta}_b^*$.

- ▶ Step 4: The bootstrap standard errors can be used to construct approximate confidence intervals and to perform asymptotic tests based on the normal distribution, e.g. if the coverage probability is 95%, a 95% confidence interval is $[\hat{\theta}_n - 1.96 \cdot \hat{s}e_{bs}, \hat{\theta}_n + 1.96 \cdot \hat{s}e_{bs}]$.

Bootstrap percentile confidence intervals

- ▶ Step 1: Draw B independent bootstrap samples. B can be as large as possible. We can take $B = 1000$.
- ▶ Step 2: Estimate θ with each of the bootstrap samples, $\hat{\theta}_b^*$ for $b = 1, \dots, B$.
- ▶ Step 3: Order the bootstrap replications such that

$$\hat{\theta}_{(1)}^* \leq \hat{\theta}_{(2)}^* \leq \dots \leq \hat{\theta}_{(B)}^*.$$

- ▶ Step 4: The lower and upper confidence bounds are $B \times (\alpha/2)$ -th and $B \times (1 - \alpha/2)$ -th ordered elements. For $B = 1000$ and $\alpha = 5\%$, these are the 25th and 975th ordered elements. The estimated $1 - \alpha$ confidence interval is $\left[\hat{\theta}_{(B \times (\alpha/2))}^*, \hat{\theta}_{(B \times (1 - \alpha/2))}^* \right]$.
- ▶ Bootstrap percentile confidence intervals often have more accurate coverage probabilities (i.e. closer to the nominal coverage probability $1 - \alpha$) than the usual confidence intervals based on standard normal quantiles and estimated variance.

Bootstrap- t test

- ▶ We consider testing $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$.
- ▶ We can conduct a bootstrap-based hypothesis testing based on the bootstrap percentile confidence interval: we simply reject H_0 if θ_0 fails to be an element of the bootstrap percentile confidence interval.
- ▶ We can show that $T = \sqrt{n} (\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) / \hat{\sigma} \rightarrow_d N(0, 1)$ under H_0 . We use the standard normal distribution as approximation to the true distribution of T and define critical values based on standard normal quantile.
- ▶ For each bootstrap sample $b = 1, \dots, B$, we can calculate $\hat{\sigma}^*$ using the bootstrap sample.

- ▶ Step 1: Draw B independent bootstrap samples. B can be as large as possible. We can take $B = 1000$.
- ▶ Step 2: Estimate θ and σ with each of the bootstrap samples, $\hat{\theta}_b^*$, $\hat{\sigma}_b^*$ for $b = 1, \dots, B$ and the t -value for each bootstrap sample:

$$t_b^* = \frac{\sqrt{n} (\hat{\theta}_b^* - \hat{\theta})}{\hat{\sigma}_b^*}.$$

- ▶ Step 3: Order the bootstrap replications of t such that $t_{(1)}^* \leq t_{(2)}^* \leq \dots \leq t_{(B)}^*$. The lower critical value and the upper critical value are then the $B \times (\alpha/2)$ -th and $B \times (1 - \alpha/2)$ -th ordered elements. For $B = 1000$ and $\alpha = 5\%$, these are the 25th and 975th ordered elements. The bootstrap lower and upper critical values generally differ in absolute values.

- ▶ A common mistake is that in Step 2, one mistakenly computes

$$\frac{\sqrt{n} \left(\hat{\theta}_n^{*(b)} - \theta_0 \right)}{\hat{\sigma}_n^{*(b)}}.$$

The test will have no power if we made this mistake.

- ▶ The distribution of the t -statistic $T = \frac{\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0)}{\hat{\sigma}_n}$ under $H_1 : \theta \neq \theta_0$ is different from that under H_0 . Under H_1 , T is not centered:

$$T = \frac{\sqrt{n} \left(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0 \right)}{\hat{\sigma}_n} = \frac{\sqrt{n} \left(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta \right)}{\hat{\sigma}_n} + \frac{\sqrt{n} \left(\theta - \theta_0 \right)}{\hat{\sigma}_n}.$$

- ▶ An important guideline is that we should always approximate the distribution of T under H_0 , i.e., the distribution of $\sqrt{n} \left(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta \right) / \hat{\sigma}_n$.

- ▶ In finite samples (fixed n), for neither the bootstrap- t test nor the usual t -test that uses ± 1.96 as critical values, the true probability of making type-I error is exactly equal to α (e.g., 0.05).
- ▶ In almost all cases, the true probability of making type-I error is greater than α , i.e., we always “over-reject” the null hypothesis.
- ▶ One can show that for bootstrap- t test, in finite samples, the true probability of making type-I error is closer to the nominal significance level α than the standard t -test that uses ± 1.96 as critical values.