

Advanced Econometrics

Lecture 9: Instrumental Variables (Hansen Chapter 11)

Instructor: Ma, Jun

Renmin University of China

December 13, 2021

Introduction

- ▶ Endogeneity in the linear model:

$$Y_i = \mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta} + e_i$$

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X}_i e_i) \neq \mathbf{0}.$$

- ▶ Note that the above model is not the linear projection model, since otherwise, if $\boldsymbol{\beta}^* = \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_i)^{-1} \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X}_i Y_i)$, and the linear projection model is

$$Y_i = \mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}^* + e_i^*$$

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X}_i e_i^*) = \mathbf{0}.$$

- ▶ We always assume that $\mathbb{E}(e_i) = 0$ and the first coordinate of \mathbf{X}_i is 1 so that its coefficient is the intercept. Under this assumption, $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X}_i e_i) \neq \mathbf{0}$ if and only if e_i is correlated with one of the regressors.

- ▶ Under endogeneity, the projection coefficients β^* does not equal the structural parameter β :

$$\begin{aligned}\beta^* &= (\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_i'))^{-1} \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X}_i Y_i) \\ &= (\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_i'))^{-1} \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X}_i (\mathbf{X}_i' \beta + e_i)) \\ &= \beta + (\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_i'))^{-1} \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X}_i e_i) \\ &\neq \beta.\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Endogeneity implies that the LS estimator is inconsistent for the structural parameter β . The LS estimator is consistent for the projection coefficient β^* :

$$\widehat{\beta} \rightarrow_p (\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_i'))^{-1} \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X}_i Y_i) = \beta^* \neq \beta.$$

The simple case of one regressor ($k = 1$)

- ▶ Consider

$$\begin{aligned}Y_i &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + e_i, \\E[e_i] &= 0 \\Cov[X_i, e_i] &\neq 0.\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ An instrument is an variable Z_i which satisfies the following conditions:
 1. The IV is exogenous: $Cov[Z_i, e_i] = 0$.
 2. The IV determines the endogenous regressor: $Cov[Z_i, X_i] \neq 0$.
- ▶ When an IV variable satisfying those conditions is available, it allows us to estimate the effect of X on Y consistently.

Sources of endogeneity

There are several possible sources of endogeneity:

1. Omitted explanatory variables.
2. Simultaneity.
3. Errors in variables.

All result in regressors correlated with the errors.

Omitted explanatory variables

- ▶ Suppose that the true model is

$$\ln Wage_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Education_i + \beta_2 Ability_i + V_i,$$

where V_i is uncorrelated with $Education$ and $Ability$.

- ▶ Since $Ability$ is unobservable, the econometrician regresses $\ln Wage$ against $Education$, and $\beta_2 Ability$ goes into the error part:

$$\begin{aligned}\ln Wage_i &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 Education_i + U_i, \\ U_i &= \beta_2 Ability_i + V_i.\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ $Education$ is correlated with $Ability$: we can expect that $\text{Cov}(Education_i, Ability_i) > 0$, $\beta_2 > 0$, and therefore $\text{Cov}(Education_i, U_i) > 0$.

Simultaneity

- ▶ Consider the following demand-supply system:

$$\text{Demand: } Q^d = \beta_0^d + \beta_1^d P + U^d,$$

$$\text{Supply: } Q^s = \beta_0^s + \beta_1^s P + U^s,$$

where: Q^d =quantity demanded, Q^s =quantity supplied,
 P =price.

- ▶ The quantity and price are determined simultaneously in the equilibrium:

$$Q^d = Q^s = Q.$$

- ▶ Note that Q^d and Q^s are not observed separately, we observe only the equilibrium values Q .

$$\begin{aligned}Q^d &= \beta_0^d + \beta_1^d P + U^d, \\Q^s &= \beta_0^s + \beta_1^s P + U^s, \\Q^d &= Q^s = Q.\end{aligned}$$

- Solving for P , we obtain

$$0 = (\beta_0^d - \beta_0^s) + (\beta_1^d - \beta_1^s) P + (U^d - U^s),$$

or

$$P = -\frac{\beta_0^d - \beta_0^s}{\beta_1^d - \beta_1^s} - \frac{U^d - U^s}{\beta_1^d - \beta_1^s}.$$

- Thus,

$$\text{Cov}(P, U^d) \neq 0 \text{ and } \text{Cov}(P, U^s) \neq 0.$$

The demand-supply equations cannot be estimated by OLS.

- ▶ Consider the following labour supply model for married women:

$$Hours_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Children_i + \text{Other Factors} + U_i,$$

where *Hours*=hours of work, *Children*=number of children.

- ▶ It is reasonable to assume that women decide simultaneously how much time to devote to career and family.
- ▶ Thus, while we may be mainly interested in the effect of family size on labour supply, there is another equation:

$$Children_i = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 Hours_i + \text{Other Factors} + V_i,$$

and *Children* and *Hours* are determined simultaneously in an equilibrium.

- ▶ As a result, $Cov(Children_i, U_i) \neq 0$, and the effect of family size cannot be estimated by OLS.

Errors in variables

- ▶ Consider the following model:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i^* + V_i,$$

where X_i^* is the true regressor.

- ▶ Suppose that X_i^* is not directly observable. Instead, we observe X_i that measures X_i^* with an error ε_i :

$$X_i = X_i^* + \varepsilon_i.$$

- ▶ Since X_i^* is unobservable, the econometrician has to regress Y_i against X_i .

$$\begin{aligned}X_i &= X_i^* + \varepsilon_i, \\Y_i &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i^* + V_i.\end{aligned}$$

- The model for Y_i as a function of X_i can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}Y_i &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 (X_i - \varepsilon_i) + V_i \\&= \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + V_i - \beta_1 \varepsilon_i,\end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}Y_i &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + e_i, \\e_i &= V_i - \beta_1 \varepsilon_i.\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Y_i &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + e_i, \\ e_i &= V_i - \beta_1 \varepsilon_i, \\ X_i &= X_i^* + \varepsilon_i. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ We can assume that

$$\text{Cov} [X_i^*, V_i] = \text{Cov} [X_i^*, \varepsilon_i] = \text{Cov} [\varepsilon_i, V_i] = 0.$$

- ▶ However,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov} [X_i, e_i] &= \text{Cov} [X_i^* + \varepsilon_i, V_i - \beta_1 \varepsilon_i] \\ &= \text{Cov} [X_i^*, V_i] - \beta_1 \text{Cov} [X_i^*, \varepsilon_i] \\ &\quad + \text{Cov} [\varepsilon_i, V_i] - \beta_1 \text{Cov} [\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_i] \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Thus, X_i is endogenous and β_1 cannot be estimated by OLS.

- ▶ Theoretically, the causal effect can be estimated from controlled experiments:
 - ▶ To estimate the return to education, select a random sample of children, randomly assign how many years of education they should have, and measure their income several years after the graduation.
 - ▶ To estimate the effect of family size on labor supply, select a random sample of parents and randomly assign how many children they should have, and measure their labor market outcomes.
 - ▶ Such an approach is infeasible due to a high cost and/or ethical reasons.
- ▶ Natural experiments: Use the random variation in the variable of interest to estimate the causal effect.

Example: Compulsory schooling laws and return to education

- ▶ Angrist and Krueger, 1991, *QJE*, suggested using school start age policy to estimate β_1 in
$$\ln Wage_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Education_i + \beta_2 Ability_i + V_i.$$
- ▶ We need to find an IV variable Z such that $Cov(Ability_i, Z_i) = 0$ and $Cov(Education_i, Z_i) \neq 0$.
- ▶ They argue that due to compulsory schooling laws, the season of birth variable satisfies the IV conditions:
 - ▶ A child has to attend the school until he reaches a certain drop-out age.
 - ▶ Students born in the first quarter of the year, reach the legal drop-out age before their classmates who were born later in the year.
 - ▶ The quarter of birth dummy variable is correlated with education.
 - ▶ The quarter of birth is uncorrelated with ability.

Example: Sibling-sex composition and labor supply

- ▶ Angrist and Evans, 1998, *AER*, argue that the parents' preferences for a mixed sibling-sex composition can be used to estimate β_1 in $Hours_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Children_i + \dots + U_i$.
- ▶ We need to find an IV Z such that $Cov [U_i, Z_i] = 0$ and $Cov (Children_i, Z_i) \neq 0$.
- ▶ Consider a dummy variable that takes on the value one if the sex of the second child matches the sex of the first child.
 - ▶ If the parents prefer a mixed sibling-sex composition, they are more likely to have another child if their first two children are of the same sex.
 - ▶ The same-sex dummy is correlated with the number of children.
 - ▶ Since sex mix is randomly determined, the same sex dummy is exogenous.

Instrumental Variables

- ▶ Partition:

$$\mathbf{X}_i = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}_{1i} \\ \mathbf{X}_{2i} \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \end{matrix}$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\beta}_1 \\ \boldsymbol{\beta}_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \end{matrix}.$$

- ▶ So the model is:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_i &= \mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta} + e_i \\ &= \mathbf{X}'_{1i} \boldsymbol{\beta}_1 + \mathbf{X}'_{2i} \boldsymbol{\beta}_2 + e_i. \end{aligned}$$

In matrix notation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{e} \\ &= \mathbf{X}_1 \boldsymbol{\beta}_1 + \mathbf{X}_2 \boldsymbol{\beta}_2 + \mathbf{e}. \end{aligned}$$

► Assume

$$\mathbb{E}(X_{1i}e_i) = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\mathbb{E}(X_{2i}e_i) \neq \mathbf{0}$$

Definition

The $l \times 1$ random vector Z_i is an instrumental variable if

$$\mathbb{E}(Z_i e_i) = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\mathbb{E}(Z_i Z_i') > 0$$

$$\text{rank}(\mathbb{E}(Z_i X_i')) = k$$

- ▶ X_{1i} satisfies $\mathbb{E}(X_{1i}e_i) = \mathbf{0}$. So it should be included as instrumental variables.

$$\mathbf{Z}_i = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Z}_{1i} \\ \mathbf{Z}_{2i} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}_{1i} \\ \mathbf{Z}_{2i} \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} k_1 \\ l_2 \end{matrix}$$

- ▶ We say the model is just-identified if $\ell = k$ ($\ell_2 = k_2$) and over-identified if $\ell > k$ ($\ell_2 > k_2$).

Instrumental Variables Estimator

- ▶ The assumption that Z_i is an IV implies

$$\mathbb{E}(Z_i e_i) = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\mathbb{E}(Z_i (Y_i - X_i' \beta)) = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\mathbb{E}(Z_i Y_i) - \mathbb{E}(Z_i X_i') \beta = \mathbf{0}.$$

- ▶ If $\ell = k$, solve for β :

$$\beta = (\mathbb{E}(Z_i X_i'))^{-1} \mathbb{E}(Z_i Y_i).$$

- ▶ The IV estimator:

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\beta}_{iv} &= \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Z}_i \mathbf{X}'_i \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Z}_i Y_i \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Z}_i \mathbf{X}'_i \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Z}_i Y_i \right) \\ &= (\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} (\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Y}).\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ The residual satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathbf{e}} &= \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\widehat{\beta}_{iv} \\ \mathbf{Z}'\widehat{\mathbf{e}} &= \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{0}.\end{aligned}$$

Two-Stage Least Squares

- ▶ We denote $\widehat{\Gamma} = (\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1} (\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{X})$.

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\beta}_{2sls} &= \left(\widehat{\Gamma}'\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z}\widehat{\Gamma}\right)^{-1} \left(\widehat{\Gamma}'\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Y}\right) \\ &= \left(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \\ &\quad \cdot \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Y} \\ &= \left(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Y}.\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ When $k = \ell$, the 2SLS simplifies to IV:

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} &= (\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \left((\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\right)^{-1} (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1} \\ &= (\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} (\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z}) (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

► So

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\beta}_{2\text{sls}} &= \left(X'Z (Z'Z)^{-1} Z'X \right)^{-1} X'Z (Z'Z)^{-1} Z'Y \\ &= (Z'X)^{-1} (Z'Z) (X'Z)^{-1} X'Z (Z'Z)^{-1} Z'Y \\ &= (Z'X)^{-1} (Z'Z) (Z'Z)^{-1} Z'Y \\ &= (Z'X)^{-1} Z'Y \\ &= \widehat{\beta}_{\text{iv}}.\end{aligned}$$

► Define the projection matrix:

$$P_Z = Z (Z'Z)^{-1} Z'.$$

► We can write

$$\widehat{\beta}_{2\text{sls}} = (X'P_Z X)^{-1} X'P_Z Y.$$

- ▶ And the fitted values:

$$\widehat{X} = P_Z X = Z\widehat{\Gamma}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\beta}_{2\text{sls}} &= (X'P_Z P_Z X)^{-1} X'P_Z Y \\ &= (\widehat{X}'\widehat{X})^{-1} \widehat{X}'Y.\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ First regress X on Z . Obtain the LS coefficients $\widehat{\Gamma} = (Z'Z)^{-1} (Z'X)$ and the fitted values $\widehat{X} = P_Z X = Z\widehat{\Gamma}$.
- ▶ Second regress Y on \widehat{X} . Get $\widehat{\beta}_{2\text{sls}} = (\widehat{X}'\widehat{X})^{-1} \widehat{X}'Y$.

- Recall $\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{X}_1 \ \mathbf{X}_2]$ and $\mathbf{Z} = [\mathbf{X}_1 \ \mathbf{Z}_2]$. Note $\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_1 = \mathbf{P}_Z \mathbf{X}_1 = \mathbf{X}_1$.
Then

$$\widehat{\mathbf{X}} = \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\mathbf{X}}_1 & \widehat{\mathbf{X}}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1 & \widehat{\mathbf{X}}_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- The 2SLS residuals:

$$\widehat{\mathbf{e}} = \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{2\text{sls}}.$$

- When the model is overidentified, $\mathbf{Z}'\widehat{\mathbf{e}} \neq \mathbf{0}$ but

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathbf{X}}'\widehat{\mathbf{e}} &= \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}'\mathbf{Z}'\widehat{\mathbf{e}} \\ &= \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'\widehat{\mathbf{e}} \\ &= \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{X}\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{2\text{sls}} \\ &= \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned}$$

Consistency of 2SLS

Assumption

1. *The observations (Y_i, X_i, Z_i) , $i = 1, \dots, n$, are independent and identically distributed.*
2. $\mathbb{E}(Y^2) < \infty$.
3. $\mathbb{E} \| \mathbf{X} \|^2 < \infty$.
4. $\mathbb{E} \| \mathbf{Z} \|^2 < \infty$.
5. $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{Z}')$ is positive definite.
6. $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{X}')$ has full rank k .
7. $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{e}) = 0$.

- Proof of consistency:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}_{2sls} &= \left(X'Z (Z'Z)^{-1} Z'X \right)^{-1} X'Z (Z'Z)^{-1} Z' (X\beta + e) \\ &= \beta + \left(X'Z (Z'Z)^{-1} Z'X \right)^{-1} X'Z (Z'Z)^{-1} Z'e.\end{aligned}$$

- Then

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}_{2sls} - \beta &= \left(\left(\frac{1}{n} X'Z \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} Z'Z \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} Z'X \right) \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\frac{1}{n} X'Z \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} Z'Z \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} Z'e \right).\end{aligned}$$

► Then,

$$\hat{\beta}_{2sls} - \beta \rightarrow_p \left(Q_{XZ} Q_{ZZ}^{-1} Q_{ZX} \right)^{-1} Q_{XZ} Q_{ZZ}^{-1} \mathbb{E} (Z_i e_i) = 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{XZ} &= \mathbb{E} (X_i Z_i') \\ Q_{ZZ} &= \mathbb{E} (Z_i Z_i') \\ Q_{ZX} &= \mathbb{E} (Z_i X_i') . \end{aligned}$$

Asymptotic Distribution of 2SLS

Assumption

1. $\mathbb{E}(Y^4) < \infty$.
2. $\mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{Z}\|^4 < \infty$.

► Write

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{2\text{sls}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}) &= \left(\left(\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z} \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{X} \right) \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z} \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{e} \right). \end{aligned}$$

► By CLT,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{e} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Z}_i e_i \rightarrow_d \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}),$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Omega} = \mathbb{E}(e_i^2 \mathbf{Z}_i \mathbf{Z}_i')$.

► Slutsky's theorem:

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_{2sls} - \beta) \rightarrow_d \left(Q_{XZ} Q_{ZZ}^{-1} Q_{ZX} \right)^{-1} Q_{XZ} Q_{ZZ}^{-1} N(\mathbf{0}, \Omega) = N(\mathbf{0}, V_\beta).$$

► We can verify:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\mathbb{E} \left(e^4 \right) \right)^{1/4} &= \left(\mathbb{E} \left((Y - X' \beta)^4 \right) \right)^{1/4} \\ &\leq \left(\mathbb{E} \left(Y^4 \right) \right)^{1/4} + \| \beta \| \left(\mathbb{E} \| X \|^4 \right)^{1/4} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{E} \| Z e \|^2 \leq \left(\mathbb{E} \| Z \|^4 \right)^{1/2} \left(\mathbb{E} \left(e^4 \right) \right)^{1/2} < \infty.$$

So the CLT and Slutsky's theorem do apply.

Theorem

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\hat{\beta}_{2sls} - \beta \right) \rightarrow_d N \left(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{V}_\beta \right)$$

where

$$\mathbf{V}_\beta = \left(\mathbf{Q}_{XZ} \mathbf{Q}_{ZZ}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{ZX} \right)^{-1} \left(\mathbf{Q}_{XZ} \mathbf{Q}_{ZZ}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Omega} \mathbf{Q}_{ZZ}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{ZX} \right) \\ \cdot \left(\mathbf{Q}_{XZ} \mathbf{Q}_{ZZ}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{ZX} \right)^{-1}$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega} = \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbf{Z}_i \mathbf{Z}_i' e_i^2 \right).$$

- ▶ The asymptotic variance simplifies under a conditional homoskedasticity condition: $\mathbb{E} \left(e_i^2 | \mathbf{Z}_i \right) = \sigma^2$.
- ▶ $\mathbf{V}_\beta = \mathbf{V}_\beta^0 = \left(\mathbf{Q}_{XZ} \mathbf{Q}_{ZZ}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{ZX} \right)^{-1} \sigma^2$.

Covariance Matrix Estimation

- ▶ Estimator of the asymptotic variance matrix V_{β} :

$$\hat{V}_{\beta} = \left(\hat{Q}_{XZ} \hat{Q}_{ZZ}^{-1} \hat{Q}_{ZX} \right)^{-1} \left(\hat{Q}_{XZ} \hat{Q}_{ZZ}^{-1} \hat{\Omega} \hat{Q}_{ZZ}^{-1} \hat{Q}_{ZX} \right) \cdot \left(\hat{Q}_{XZ} \hat{Q}_{ZZ}^{-1} \hat{Q}_{ZX} \right)^{-1}$$

where

$$\hat{Q}_{ZZ} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{z}_i \mathbf{z}_i' = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{Z}' \mathbf{Z}$$

$$\hat{Q}_{XZ} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \mathbf{z}_i' = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{Z}$$

$$\hat{\Omega} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{z}_i \mathbf{z}_i' \hat{e}_i^2$$

$$\hat{e}_i = Y_i - \mathbf{X}_i' \hat{\beta}_{2\text{sls}}$$

- ▶ The homoskedastic variance matrix can be estimated by

$$\hat{\mathbf{V}}_{\beta}^0 = \left(\hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{XZ} \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{ZZ}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{ZX} \right)^{-1} \hat{\sigma}^2$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{e}_i^2.$$

Theorem

$$\hat{\mathbf{V}}_{\beta}^0 \rightarrow_p \mathbf{V}_{\beta}^0$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{V}}_{\beta} \rightarrow_p \mathbf{V}_{\beta}.$$

- ▶ The covariance matrix estimator should be constructed using the correct residual formula: $\widehat{e}_i = Y_i - \mathbf{X}'_i \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{2sls}$.
- ▶ In the second stage, regress Y_i on $\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_i$, $\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_i = \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}' \mathbf{Z}_i$.
- ▶ Residuals from the second stage: $Y_i = \widehat{\mathbf{X}}'_i \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{2sls} + \widehat{v}_i$.
- ▶ The standard errors reported by STATA for the second-stage regression use the residual \widehat{v}_i . The (homoskedastic) formula it uses is

$$\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \left(\frac{1}{n} \widehat{\mathbf{X}}' \widehat{\mathbf{X}} \right)^{-1} \widehat{\sigma}_v^2 = \left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{Q}}_{XZ} \widehat{\boldsymbol{Q}}_{ZZ}^{-1} \widehat{\boldsymbol{Q}}_{ZX} \right)^{-1} \widehat{\sigma}_v^2$$

$$\widehat{\sigma}_v^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \widehat{v}_i^2.$$

- ▶ However,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{v}_i &= Y_i - \mathbf{X}'_i \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{2sls} + \left(\mathbf{X}_i - \widehat{\mathbf{X}}_i \right)' \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{2sls} \\ &\neq \widehat{e}_i. \end{aligned}$$

Functions of Parameters

- ▶ Given $r : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^q$, the parameter of interest is $\theta = r(\beta)$.
- ▶ A natural estimator is $\hat{\theta}_{2sls} = r(\hat{\beta}_{2sls})$.

Theorem

r is continuous at β , then $\hat{\theta}_{2sls} \rightarrow_p \theta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

- ▶ Estimator of the asymptotic variance matrix:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V}_\theta &= \hat{R}' \hat{V}_\beta \hat{R} \\ \hat{R} &= \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{b}} r(\mathbf{b})' \right|_{\mathbf{b}=\hat{\beta}_{2sls}}\end{aligned}$$

Theorem

If r is continuously differentiable at $\boldsymbol{\beta}$,

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{2sls} - \boldsymbol{\theta} \right) \rightarrow_d \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{V}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$$

where

$$\mathbf{V}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \mathbf{R}' \mathbf{V}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \mathbf{R}$$

$$\mathbf{R} = \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{b}} r(\mathbf{b})' \right|_{\mathbf{b}=\boldsymbol{\beta}}$$

and $\hat{\mathbf{V}}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \rightarrow_p \mathbf{V}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$.

Hypothesis Tests

- ▶ We are interested in testing

$$\mathbb{H}_0 : \boldsymbol{\theta} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_0$$

$$\mathbb{H}_1 : \boldsymbol{\theta} \neq \boldsymbol{\theta}_0.$$

- ▶ The Wald statistic:

$$W = n \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \right)' \hat{\mathbf{V}}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}^{-1} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \right).$$

Theorem

$$W \rightarrow_d \chi_q^2.$$

For c satisfying $\alpha = 1 - G_q(c)$,

$$\Pr(W > c \mid \mathbb{H}_0) \rightarrow \alpha$$

so the test “Reject \mathbb{H}_0 if $W > c$ ” has asymptotic size α .