

Introductory Econometrics

Lecture 6: Gauss-Markov Theorem

Instructor: Ma, Jun

Renmin University of China

October 5, 2022

There are many alternatives estimators

- ▶ The OLS estimator is not the only estimator we can construct. There are alternative estimators with some desirable properties.
- ▶ Example: Using only the first two observations, suppose that $X_2 \neq X_1$.

$$\tilde{\beta} = \frac{Y_2 - Y_1}{X_2 - X_1}.$$

- ▶ $\tilde{\beta}$ is linear:

$$\tilde{\beta} = c_1 Y_1 + c_2 Y_2,$$

where

$$c_1 = -\frac{1}{X_2 - X_1} \text{ and } c_2 = \frac{1}{X_2 - X_1}.$$

Unbiasedness of $\tilde{\beta}$

- If $Y_i = \alpha + \beta X_i + U_i$ and $E[U_i | X_1, \dots, X_n] = 0$, then $\tilde{\beta}$ is unbiased:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\beta} &= \frac{Y_2 - Y_1}{X_2 - X_1} \\ &= \frac{(\alpha + \beta X_2 + U_2) - (\alpha + \beta X_1 + U_1)}{X_2 - X_1} \\ &= \frac{\beta(X_2 - X_1)}{X_2 - X_1} + \frac{U_2 - U_1}{X_2 - X_1} \\ &= \beta + \frac{U_2 - U_1}{X_2 - X_1}, \text{ and}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}E[\tilde{\beta} | X_1, X_2] &= \beta + E\left[\frac{U_2 - U_1}{X_2 - X_1} \mid X_1, X_2\right] \\ &= \beta + \frac{E[U_2 | X_1, X_2] - E[U_1 | X_1, X_2]}{X_2 - X_1} \\ &= \beta.\end{aligned}$$

An optimality criterion

- ▶ Among all linear and unbiased estimators, an estimator with the smallest variance is called the Best Linear Unbiased Estimator (BLUE).
- ▶ Note that the statement is conditional on X 's:
 - ▶ The estimators are unbiased conditionally on X 's.
 - ▶ The variance is conditional on X 's.

Gauss-Markov Theorem

Suppose that

- ▶ $Y_i = \alpha + \beta X_i + U_i$.
- ▶ $E[U_i | X_1, \dots, X_n] = 0$.
- ▶ $E[U_i^2 | X_1, \dots, X_n] = \sigma^2$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ (homoskedasticity).
- ▶ For all $i \neq j$, $E[U_i U_j | X_1, \dots, X_n] = 0$.

Then, conditionally on X 's, the OLS estimators are BLUE.

Gauss-Markov Theorem

- ▶ We already know that the OLS estimator $\hat{\beta}$ is linear and unbiased (conditionally on X 's).
- ▶ Let $\tilde{\beta}$ be any other estimator of β such that
 - ▶ $\tilde{\beta}$ is linear:

$$\tilde{\beta} = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i Y_i,$$

where c 's depend only on X 's.

- ▶ $\tilde{\beta}$ is unbiased:

$$E[\tilde{\beta}] = \beta,$$

where expectation is conditional on X 's.

- ▶ We need to show that for any such $\tilde{\beta} \neq \hat{\beta}$,

$$\text{Var}[\tilde{\beta}] > \text{Var}[\hat{\beta}],$$

where the variance is conditional on X 's.

An outline of the proof*

- ▶ First, we are going to show that the c 's in $\tilde{\beta} = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i Y_i$ satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i = 1$.
- ▶ Using the results of Step 1, we will show that conditionally on X 's, $\text{Cov} [\tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta}] = \text{Var} [\hat{\beta}]$.
- ▶ Using the results of Step 2, we will show that conditionally on X 's, $\text{Var} [\tilde{\beta}] \geq \text{Var} [\hat{\beta}]$.
- ▶ Lastly, we will show that $\text{Var} [\tilde{\beta}] = \text{Var} [\hat{\beta}]$ if and only if $\tilde{\beta} = \hat{\beta}$.

Proof: Step 1*

- ▶ Since $\tilde{\beta} = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i Y_i$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\beta} &= \sum_{i=1}^n c_i (\alpha + \beta X_i + U_i) \\ &= \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n c_i + \beta \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i U_i.\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Conditionally on X 's,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[\tilde{\beta}] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\alpha \sum_{i=1}^n c_i + \beta \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i U_i\right] \\ &= \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n c_i + \beta \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \mathbb{E}[U_i] \\ &= \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n c_i + \beta \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i.\end{aligned}$$

Proof: Step 1*

- ▶ From the linearity we have that, conditionally on X 's,

$$E [\tilde{\beta}] = \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n c_i + \beta \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i.$$

- ▶ From the unbiasedness we have that conditionally on X 's,

$$\beta = E [\tilde{\beta}] = \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n c_i + \beta \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i.$$

- ▶ Since this has to be true for any α and β , it follows now that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i &= 0, \\ \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Step 2*

► We have

$$\tilde{\beta} = \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i U_i, \text{ with } \sum_{i=1}^n c_i = 0, \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i = 1.$$

► Conditionally on X 's,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov} [\tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta}] &= \text{E} [(\tilde{\beta} - \beta) (\hat{\beta} - \beta)] \\ &= \text{E} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i U_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i U_i \right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n c_i w_i \text{E} [U_i^2] + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq i} c_i w_j \text{E} [U_i U_j]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Step 2*

$$\text{Cov} [\tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta}] = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i w_i \text{E} [U_i^2] + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq i} c_i w_j \text{E} [U_i U_j].$$

- ▶ Since $\text{E} [U_i^2] = \sigma^2$ for all i 's:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n c_i w_i \text{E} [U_i^2] = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n c_i w_i.$$

- ▶ Since $\text{E} [U_i U_j] = 0$ for all $i \neq j$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq i} c_i w_j \text{E} [U_i U_j] = 0.$$

- ▶ Thus,

$$\text{Cov} [\tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta}] = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n c_i w_i.$$

Proof: Step 2*

Conditionally on X 's:

$$\text{Cov} [\tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta}] = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n c_i w_i \text{ and } w_i = \frac{X_i - \bar{X}}{\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov} [\tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta}] &= \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \frac{X_i - \bar{X}}{\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2} \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i (X_i - \bar{X}) \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i - \bar{X} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \right) \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2} (1 + \bar{X} \cdot 0) \\ &= \text{Var} [\hat{\beta}]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Step 3*

- ▶ We know now that for any linear and unbiased $\tilde{\beta}$,

$$\text{Cov} [\tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta}] = \text{Var} [\hat{\beta}] .$$

- ▶ Let's consider $\text{Var} [\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta}]$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var} [\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta}] &= \text{Var} [\tilde{\beta}] + \text{Var} [\hat{\beta}] - 2\text{Cov} [\tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta}] \\ &= \text{Var} [\tilde{\beta}] + \text{Var} [\hat{\beta}] - 2\text{Var} [\hat{\beta}] \\ &= \text{Var} [\tilde{\beta}] - \text{Var} [\hat{\beta}] . \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ But since $\text{Var} [\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta}] \geq 0$,

$$\text{Var} [\tilde{\beta}] - \text{Var} [\hat{\beta}] \geq 0$$

or

$$\text{Var} [\tilde{\beta}] \geq \text{Var} [\hat{\beta}] .$$

Proof: Step 4 (Uniqueness)*

Suppose that $\text{Var} [\tilde{\beta}] = \text{Var} [\hat{\beta}]$.

► Then,

$$\text{Var} [\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta}] = \text{Var} [\tilde{\beta}] - \text{Var} [\hat{\beta}] = 0.$$

► Thus, $\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta}$ is not random or

$$\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta} = \text{constant}.$$

► This constant also has to be zero because

$$\begin{aligned} E [\tilde{\beta}] &= E [\hat{\beta}] + \text{constant} \\ &= \beta + \text{constant}, \end{aligned}$$

and in order for $\tilde{\beta}$ to be unbiased

$$\text{constant}=0 \text{ or } \tilde{\beta} = \hat{\beta}.$$