Introductory Econometrics

Lecture 17: Linear regression without strong exogeneity

Instructor: Ma, Jun

Renmin University of China

November 23, 2022

Strong exogeneity and the conditional expectation function (CEF)

► Consider the linear regression model

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + U_i.$$

▶ When the errors are strongly exogenous, i.e. $E[U_i \mid X_i] = 0$, the linear regression model defines the CEF of *Y* conditional on *X*:

$$CEF_{Y}(X_{i}) = E[Y_{i} | X_{i}]$$

$$= E[\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}X_{i} + U_{i} | X_{i}]$$

$$= \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}X_{i} + E[U_{i} | X_{i}]$$

$$= \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}X_{i}$$

Weak exogeneity

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Y_i & = & \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + U_i, \\ \mathrm{E} \left[U_i \right] & = & 0 \end{array}$$

► Suppose the errors are only weakly exogenous:

$$\mathrm{E}\left[U_{i}X_{i}\right]=0.$$

► In this case,

$$CEF_Y(X_i) \neq \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i$$
.

► Question: What does the econometrician estimates when he runs a linear regression and the regressors are not strongly exogenous?

Linear regression as a misspecified CEF

Suppose that

$$\mathrm{E}\left[Y_{i}\mid X_{i}\right]=g\left(X_{i}\right),$$

where *g* is some unknown nonlinear function. Thus, the true CEF is $g(X_i) \neq \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i$.

► Define

$$V_i = Y_i - \mathbb{E}\left[Y_i \mid X_i\right],\,$$

so we can write the true model as

$$Y_i = g(X_i) + V_i,$$

$$E[V_i \mid X_i] = 0.$$

► Write

$$Y_i = g(X_i) + V_i$$

= $\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_i + g(X_i) + V_i$

or

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + U_i,$$

where

$$U_i = V_i + g(X_i) - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_i.$$

► Can we find β_0 and β_1 so that $E[U_i] = 0$ and $E[X_iU_i] = 0$? If yes, how can we interpret such β_0 and β_1 ?

$$U_i = V_i + g(X_i) - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_i$$
.

► Note that

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}\left[U_{i}\right] &= \mathbf{E}\left[V_{i} + g\left(X_{i}\right) - \beta_{0} - \beta_{1}X_{i}\right] \\ &= \mathbf{E}\left[V_{i}\right] + \mathbf{E}\left[g\left(X_{i}\right) - \beta_{0} - \beta_{1}X_{i}\right] \\ &= \mathbf{E}\left[g\left(X_{i}\right) - \beta_{0} - \beta_{1}X_{i}\right], \end{split}$$

and

$$E[U_{i}X_{i}] = E[(V_{i} + g(X_{i}) - \beta_{0} - \beta_{1}X_{i}) X_{i}]$$

$$= E[V_{i}X_{i}] + E[(g(X_{i}) - \beta_{0} - \beta_{1}X_{i}) X_{i}]$$

$$= E[(g(X_{i}) - \beta_{0} - \beta_{1}X_{i}) X_{i}].$$

► Thus, to have $E[U_i] = E[U_i X_i] = 0$, we need to find β_0 and β_1 such that

$$E[g(X_i) - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_i] = 0$$

$$E[(g(X_i) - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_i) X_i] = 0.$$

Linear approximation of the CEF

► Consider the following approximation problem:

$$\min_{b_0,b_1} E \left[(g(X_i) - b_0 - b_1 X_i)^2 \right].$$

- ► We are approximating the CEF by linear functions.
- ► Among the linear functions, we are looking for the best linear approximation in the mean squared error (MSE) sense.

$$\begin{split} \min_{b_0,b_1} MSE\left(b_0,b_1\right), \\ MSE\left(b_0,b_1\right) &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(g\left(X_i\right) - b_0 - b_1X_i\right)^2\right]. \end{split}$$

Let β_0 and β_1 denote the solution: $(\beta_0, \beta_1) = \arg \min_{b_0, b_1} MSE(b_0, b_1)$.

► The first-order conditions are:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial MSE\left(\beta_{0},\beta_{1}\right)}{\partial b_{0}} &= -2\cdot \mathrm{E}\left[g\left(X_{i}\right)-\beta_{0}-\beta_{1}X_{i}\right]=0.\\ \frac{\partial MSE\left(\beta_{0},\beta_{1}\right)}{\partial b_{1}} &= -2\cdot \mathrm{E}\left[\left(g\left(X_{i}\right)-\beta_{0}-\beta_{1}X_{i}\right)X_{i}\right]=0. \end{split}$$

Linear regression as the best linear approximation of the CEF

► We have

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + U_i,$$

 $U_i = V_i + g(X_i) - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_i.$

► With $(\beta_0, \beta_1) = \arg \min_{b_0, b_1} \mathbb{E} [(g(X_i) - b_0 - b_1 X_i)^2],$

$$E[U_i] = 0 \text{ and } E[U_i X_i] = 0.$$

► Thus, the linear regression model gives us the best linear approximation of the CEF (in the MSE sense).

Misspecification and heteroskedasticity

► We have

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + U_i,$$

$$U_i = V_i + g(X_i) - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_i.$$

- ► Suppose that the "true" error V_i is homoskedastic: $E\left[V_i^2 \mid X_i\right] = \sigma_V^2$ for all X_i .
- ► U_i is heteroskedastic if $g(X_i) \neq \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i$:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}\left[U_{i}^{2} \mid X_{i}\right] &= \mathbf{E}\left[\left(V_{i} + g\left(X_{i}\right) - \beta_{0} - \beta_{1}X_{i}\right)^{2} \mid X_{i}\right] \\ &= \mathbf{E}\left[V_{i}^{2} + \left(g\left(X_{i}\right) - \beta_{0} - \beta_{1}X_{i}\right)^{2} + \right. \\ &\left. + 2V_{i}\left(g\left(X_{i}\right) - \beta_{0} - \beta_{1}X_{i}\right) \mid X_{i}\right] \\ &= \sigma_{V}^{2} + \left(g\left(X_{i}\right) - \beta_{0} - \beta_{1}X_{i}\right)^{2}. \end{split}$$